

**INDEX OF EXHIBITS**

<b>EXHIBIT A</b>	Summary of Defendants' Arguments for Dismissal of IPPs' (1) Individual State Law Antitrust Claims; (2) Individual State Law Consumer Protection Claims; and (3) Individual State Law Unjust Enrichment Claims
<b>EXHIBIT B</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs Cannot Demonstrate Antitrust Standing
<b>EXHIBIT C</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs' Boilerplate Allegations Fail To Allege a Sufficient Intrastate Nexus as the Antitrust Laws of Nine States Require
<b>EXHIBIT D</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs' Boilerplate Allegations Fail To Allege a Sufficient Intrastate Nexus as the Consumer Protection Laws of Four States Require
<b>EXHIBIT E</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs' Unjust Enrichment Claims Fail in the Twenty-Two States that Recognize the Benefit of the Bargain Limitation
<b>EXHIBIT F</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs' Unjust Enrichment Claims Fail in the Fourteen States that Recognize a Direct Benefit Conferred by Plaintiffs
<b>EXHIBIT G</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs' Unjust Enrichment Claims Fail in the Eleven States Where Retention of a Benefit Is Not Unjust Where the Defendant has Provided Consideration for It
<b>EXHIBIT H</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs Fail to Satisfy the Requirement for Pleading Unjust Enrichment Claims Under the Laws of Thirteen States
<b>EXHIBIT I</b>	Appendix of Authority in Support of Defendants' Argument that IPPs' Claims Are Barred in Whole or in Part by State Statutes of Limitations